TQFTS and models for topological phases derived from categorified gauge theory (higher gauge theory)

I ENCONTRO BRASILEIRO EM TEORIA DAS CATEGORIAS

João Faria Martins (University of Leeds)

27th January 2021

LEVERHULME TRUST



Partially funded by the Leverhulme Trust research project grant: RPG-2018-029: "Emergent Physics From Lattice Models of Higher Gauge Theory" Thanks: T. Porter, P. Martin, F. Torzewska, A. Bullivant, Z. Kádár, M. Calçada

Consider the (symmetric monoidal) category (n, n + 1)-Cob.

- ▶ Objects: (*n* − 1)-compact manifolds *A*, *B*,...
- Morphisms $[M]: A \rightarrow B$ are equivalence classes of diagrams:



Where *M* is a smooth (n-1)-manifold, and *i* and *j* induce a diffeomorphism $(i, j) : A \sqcup B \to \partial(M)$.

Consider the (symmetric monoidal) category (n, n + 1)-Cob.

▶ Objects: (*n* − 1)-compact manifolds *A*, *B*,...

• Morphisms $[M]: A \rightarrow B$ are equivalence classes of diagrams:



Where *M* is a smooth (n - 1)-manifold, and *i* and *j* induce a diffeomorphism $(i, j) : A \sqcup B \rightarrow \partial(M)$.

Consider the (symmetric monoidal) category (n, n + 1)-Cob.

▶ Objects: (*n* − 1)-compact manifolds *A*, *B*,...

• Morphisms $[M]: A \rightarrow B$ are equivalence classes of diagrams:



Where *M* is a smooth (n - 1)-manifold, and *i* and *j* induce a diffeomorphism $(i, j) : A \sqcup B \to \partial(M)$.

Consider the (symmetric monoidal) category (n, n + 1)-Cob.

- ▶ Objects: (*n* − 1)-compact manifolds *A*, *B*,...
- Morphisms $[M]: A \rightarrow B$ are equivalence classes of diagrams:



Where *M* is a smooth (n-1)-manifold, and *i* and *j* induce a diffeomorphism $(i, j) : A \sqcup B \to \partial(M)$.

Consider the (symmetric monoidal) category (n, n + 1)-Cob.

- ▶ Objects: (*n* − 1)-compact manifolds *A*, *B*,...
- Morphisms [M]: $A \rightarrow B$ are equivalence classes of diagrams:



Where *M* is a smooth (n-1)-manifold, and *i* and *j* induce a diffeomorphism $\langle i, j \rangle : A \sqcup B \to \partial(M)$.

Consider the (symmetric monoidal) category (n, n + 1)-Cob.

- ▶ Objects: (*n* − 1)-compact manifolds *A*, *B*,...
- Morphisms [M]: $A \rightarrow B$ are equivalence classes of diagrams:



Where *M* is a smooth (n-1)-manifold, and *i* and *j* induce a diffeomorphism $\langle i, j \rangle : A \sqcup B \to \partial(M)$.

Consider the (symmetric monoidal) category (n, n + 1)-Cob.

- ▶ Objects: (*n*−1)-compact manifolds *A*, *B*,...
- Morphisms $[M]: A \rightarrow B$ are equivalence classes of diagrams:



Where *M* is a smooth (n-1)-manifold, and *i* and *j* induce a diffeomorphism $(i,j): A \sqcup B \to \partial(M)$.

Consider the (symmetric monoidal) category (n, n + 1)-Cob.

- ▶ Objects: (*n* − 1)-compact manifolds *A*, *B*,...
- Morphisms $[M]: A \rightarrow B$ are equivalence classes of diagrams:



Where *M* is a smooth (n-1)-manifold, and *i* and *j* induce a diffeomorphism $(i, j) : A \sqcup B \to \partial(M)$.



Consider the (symmetric monoidal) category (n, n + 1)-Cob.

- ▶ Objects: (*n* − 1)-compact manifolds *A*, *B*,...
- Morphisms [M]: $A \rightarrow B$ are equivalence classes of diagrams:



Where *M* is a smooth (n-1)-manifold, and *i* and *j* induce a diffeomorphism $(i,j): A \sqcup B \to \partial(M)$.





Composition of morphisms lssues with smooth structure.

Visualization.

Definition

Given a non-negative integer *n*, a Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor:

$\mathcal{F}\colon (n,n+1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob}\to \mathrm{Vect}$

- Recall Quinn's total homotopy TQFT

 F^(s)_B: (n, n + 1)-Cob → Vect
 (Here B a homotopically finite space: a parameter of theory)
- Explain combinatorial calculation of F^(s)_B if B is the classifying space of a homotopy finite ω-groupoid.
- Relate to higher gauge theory.
- In passing mention higher Kitaev models; cf. Teotónio's talk.

Definition Given a non-negative integer n,

a Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor:

$\mathcal{F}\colon (n,n+1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob}\to \mathrm{Vect}$

- Recall Quinn's total homotopy TQFT

 F^(s)_B: (n, n + 1)-Cob → Vect
 (Here B a homotopically finite space: a parameter of theory)
- Explain combinatorial calculation of F^(s)_B if B is the classifying space of a homotopy finite ω-groupoid.
- Relate to higher gauge theory.
- In passing mention higher Kitaev models; cf. Teotónio's talk.

Definition Given a non-negative integer *n*, a Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor:

$\mathcal{F}\colon (n,n+1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob}\to \mathrm{Vect}$

- Recall Quinn's total homotopy TQFT
 𝓕^(s): (n, n + 1)-Cob → Vect
 (Here 𝔅 a homotopically finite space: a parameter of theory)
- Explain combinatorial calculation of F^(s)_B if B is the classifying space of a homotopy finite ω-groupoid.
- Relate to higher gauge theory.
- In passing mention higher Kitaev models; cf. Teotónio's talk.

Definition

Given a non-negative integer n,

a Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT)

is a symmetric monoidal functor:

$\mathcal{F} \colon (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n+1})\text{-}\mathrm{Cob} \to \mathrm{Vect}$

- Recall Quinn's total homotopy TQFT
 F^(s)_B: (n, n + 1)-Cob → Vect
 (Here B a homotopically finite space: a parameter of theory)
- Explain combinatorial calculation of F^(s)_B if B is the classifying space of a homotopy finite ω-groupoid.
- Relate to higher gauge theory.
- In passing mention higher Kitaev models; cf. Teotónio's talk.

Definition

Given a non-negative integer n,

- a Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT)
- is a symmetric monoidal functor:

$\mathcal{F}\colon (n,n+1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob}\to\mathrm{Vect}$

- Recall Quinn's total homotopy TQFT
 F^(s)_B: (n, n + 1)-Cob → Vect
 (Here B a homotopically finite space: a parameter of theory)
- Explain combinatorial calculation of F^(s)_B if B is the classifying space of a homotopy finite ω-groupoid.
- Relate to higher gauge theory.
- In passing mention higher Kitaev models; cf. Teotónio's talk.

Definition

Given a non-negative integer n,

a Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT)

is a symmetric monoidal functor:

$\mathcal{F}\colon (n,n+1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob}\to\mathrm{Vect}$

- Recall Quinn's total homotopy TQFT
 𝓕^(s): (n, n + 1)-Cob → Vect
 (Here 𝔅 a homotopically finite space: a parameter of theory)
- Explain combinatorial calculation of *F*^(s)_B if B is the classifying space of a homotopy finite ω-groupoid.
- Relate to higher gauge theory.
- In passing mention higher Kitaev models; cf. Teotónio's talk.

Definition

Given a non-negative integer n,

a Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT)

is a symmetric monoidal functor:

$$\mathcal{F}\colon (n,n+1) ext{-}\mathrm{Cob} o \mathrm{Vect}$$

In this talk I will:

▶ Recall Quinn's total homotopy TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$: (**n**, **n** + **1**)-Cob → Vect

(Here ${\mathbb B}$ a homotopically finite space: a parameter of theory)

Explain combinatorial calculation of *F*^(s)_B
 if B is the classifying space of a homotopy finite ω-groupoid.

Relate to higher gauge theory.

Definition

Given a non-negative integer n,

a Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT)

is a symmetric monoidal functor:

$$\mathcal{F}\colon (n,n+1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob}\to\mathrm{Vect}$$

In this talk I will:

- ▶ Recall Quinn's total homotopy TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$: (n, n + 1)-Cob → Vect (Here \mathbb{B} a homotopically finite space: a parameter of theory)
- Explain combinatorial calculation of *F*^(s)_B
 if B is the classifying space of a homotopy finite ω-groupoid.

Relate to higher gauge theory.

Definition

Given a non-negative integer n,

a Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT)

is a symmetric monoidal functor:

$$\mathcal{F}\colon (n,n+1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob}\to\mathrm{Vect}$$

In this talk I will:

- ▶ Recall Quinn's total homotopy TQFT $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}$: (n, n + 1)-Cob → Vect (Here \mathbb{B} a homotopically finite space: a parameter of theory)
- Explain combinatorial calculation of *F*^(s)_B
 if B is the classifying space of a homotopy finite ω-groupoid.

Relate to higher gauge theory.

Definition

Given a non-negative integer n,

a Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT)

is a symmetric monoidal functor:

$$\mathcal{F}\colon (n,n+1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob}\to\mathrm{Vect}$$

In this talk I will:

- ▶ Recall Quinn's total homotopy TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$: (n, n + 1)-Cob → Vect (Here \mathbb{B} a homotopically finite space: a parameter of theory)
- Explain combinatorial calculation of *F*^(s)_B
 if B is the classifying space of a homotopy finite ω-groupoid.
- Relate to higher gauge theory.

Definition

Given a non-negative integer n,

a Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT)

is a symmetric monoidal functor:

$$\mathcal{F}\colon (n,n+1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob}\to\mathrm{Vect}$$

- ▶ Recall Quinn's total homotopy TQFT $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}$: (n, n + 1)-Cob → Vect (Here \mathbb{B} a homotopically finite space: a parameter of theory)
- Explain combinatorial calculation of *F*^(s)_B
 if B is the classifying space of a homotopy finite ω-groupoid.
- Relate to higher gauge theory.
- In passing mention higher Kitaev models; cf. Teotónio's talk.

Definition

A space X is homotopy finite (HF) if:

X has only a finite number of path components.

• If $K \in \pi_0(X)$ – set of path components of X – then $\pi_i(K)$ is:

• trivial if i > n, for some n.

▶ finite for all *i*.

If X is HF, the homotopy content of X is:

$$\chi^{\pi} = \sum_{K \in \pi_0} \frac{|\pi_2(K)| |\pi_4(K)| |\pi_6(K)| \dots}{|\pi_1(K)| |\pi_3(K)| |\pi_5(K)| \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

First appeared (I think) in:

Definition

A space X is homotopy finite (HF) if:

X has only a finite number of path components.

▶ If $K \in \pi_0(X)$ – set of path components of X – then $\pi_i(K)$ is:

• trivial if i > n, for some n.

▶ finite for all *i*.

If X is HF, the homotopy content of X is:

$$\chi^{\pi} = \sum_{K \in \pi_0} \frac{|\pi_2(K)| |\pi_4(K)| |\pi_6(K)| \dots}{|\pi_1(K)| |\pi_3(K)| |\pi_5(K)| \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

First appeared (I think) in:

Definition

A space X is homotopy finite (HF) if:

X has only a finite number of path components.

If K ∈ π₀(X) − set of path components of X − then π_i(K) is:
 trivial if i > n, for some n.

▶ finite for all *i*.

If X is HF, the homotopy content of X is:

$$\chi^{\pi} = \sum_{K \in \pi_0} \frac{|\pi_2(K)| |\pi_4(K)| |\pi_6(K)| \dots}{|\pi_1(K)| |\pi_3(K)| |\pi_5(K)| \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

First appeared (I think) in:

Definition

A space X is homotopy finite (HF) if:

- X has only a finite number of path components.
- If $K \in \pi_0(X)$ set of path components of X then $\pi_i(K)$ is:
 - trivial if i > n, for some n.
 - finite for all i.
- If X is HF, the homotopy content of X is:

$$\chi^{\pi} = \sum_{K \in \pi_0} \frac{|\pi_2(K)| |\pi_4(K)| |\pi_6(K)| \dots}{|\pi_1(K)| |\pi_3(K)| |\pi_5(K)| \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

First appeared (I think) in:

Definition

A space X is homotopy finite (HF) if:

► X has only a finite number of path components.

▶ If $K \in \pi_0(X)$ – set of path components of X – then $\pi_i(K)$ is:

• trivial if i > n, for some n.

▶ finite for all *i*.

If X is HF, the homotopy content of X is:

$$\chi^{\pi} = \sum_{K \in \pi_0} \frac{|\pi_2(K)| \ |\pi_4(K)| \ |\pi_6(K)| \dots}{|\pi_1(K)| \ |\pi_3(K)| \ |\pi_5(K)| \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

First appeared (I think) in:

Definition

A space X is homotopy finite (HF) if:

► X has only a finite number of path components.

▶ If
$$K \in \pi_0(X)$$
 – set of path components of X – then $\pi_i(K)$ is:

• trivial if i > n, for some n.

finite for all i.

If X is HF, the homotopy content of X is:

$$\chi^{\pi} = \sum_{K \in \pi_0} \frac{|\pi_2(K)| \ |\pi_4(K)| \ |\pi_6(K)| \dots}{|\pi_1(K)| \ |\pi_3(K)| \ |\pi_5(K)| \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

First appeared (I think) in:

Definition

A space X is homotopy finite (HF) if:

► X has only a finite number of path components.

▶ If
$$K \in \pi_0(X)$$
 – set of path components of X – then $\pi_i(K)$ is:

• trivial if i > n, for some n.

finite for all i.

If X is HF, the homotopy content of X is:

$$\chi^{\pi} = \sum_{K \in \pi_0} \frac{|\pi_2(K)| \ |\pi_4(K)| \ |\pi_6(K)| \dots}{|\pi_1(K)| \ |\pi_3(K)| \ |\pi_5(K)| \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

First appeared (I think) in:

Definition

A space X is homotopy finite (HF) if:

► X has only a finite number of path components.

▶ If $K \in \pi_0(X)$ – set of path components of X – then $\pi_i(K)$ is:

• trivial if i > n, for some n.

finite for all i.

If X is HF, the homotopy content of X is:

$$\chi^{\pi} = \sum_{K \in \pi_0} \frac{|\pi_2(K)| |\pi_4(K)| |\pi_6(K)| \dots}{|\pi_1(K)| |\pi_3(K)| |\pi_5(K)| \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

First appeared (I think) in:

Definition

A space X is homotopy finite (HF) if:

► X has only a finite number of path components.

▶ If
$$K \in \pi_0(X)$$
 – set of path components of X – then $\pi_i(K)$ is:

• trivial if i > n, for some n.

finite for all i.

If X is HF, the homotopy content of X is:

$$\chi^{\pi} = \sum_{K \in \pi_0} \frac{|\pi_2(K)| |\pi_4(K)| |\pi_6(K)| \dots}{|\pi_1(K)| |\pi_3(K)| |\pi_5(K)| \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

First appeared (I think) in:

Definition

A space X is homotopy finite (HF) if:

► X has only a finite number of path components.

▶ If
$$K \in \pi_0(X)$$
 – set of path components of X – then $\pi_i(K)$ is:

• trivial if i > n, for some n.

finite for all i.

If X is HF, the homotopy content of X is:

$$\chi^{\pi} = \sum_{K \in \pi_0} \frac{|\pi_2(K)| |\pi_4(K)| |\pi_6(K)| \dots}{|\pi_1(K)| |\pi_3(K)| |\pi_5(K)| \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

First appeared (I think) in:

Definition

A space X is homotopy finite (HF) if:

► X has only a finite number of path components.

▶ If $K \in \pi_0(X)$ – set of path components of X – then $\pi_i(K)$ is:

• trivial if i > n, for some n.

finite for all i.

If X is HF, the homotopy content of X is:

$$\chi^{\pi} = \sum_{K \in \pi_0} \frac{|\pi_2(K)| |\pi_4(K)| |\pi_6(K)| \dots}{|\pi_1(K)| |\pi_3(K)| |\pi_5(K)| \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

First appeared (I think) in:

• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$

$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$

If p: E → B is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces B path-connected, b ∈ B, F_b = p⁻¹(b):

$$\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$$

If M is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $TOP(M, \mathbb{B}) = \{ f \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous} \}$

• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

If p: E → B is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces B path-connected, b ∈ B, F_b = p⁻¹(b):

$$\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$$

If M is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $TOP(M, \mathbb{B}) = \{ f : M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous} \}$

• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

If p: E → B is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces B path-connected, b ∈ B, F_b = p⁻¹(b):

$$\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$$

► If M is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $TOP(M, \mathbb{B}) = \{ f \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous} \}$

• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$

▶ If $p: E \to B$ is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces *B* path-connected, $b \in B$, $F_b = p^{-1}(b)$:

$\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$

► If M is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $TOP(M, \mathbb{B}) = \{ f \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous} \}$
• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

If p: E → B is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces B path-connected, b ∈ B, F_b = p⁻¹(b):

 $\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$

If M is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $TOP(M, \mathbb{B}) = \{ f \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous} \}$

• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

If p: E → B is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces B path-connected, b ∈ B, F_b = p⁻¹(b):

$$\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$$

If M is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $TOP(M, \mathbb{B}) = \{ f \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous} \}$

• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

▶ If $p: E \to B$ is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces *B* path-connected, $b \in B$, $F_b = p^{-1}(b)$:

$$\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$$

If M is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $TOP(M, \mathbb{B}) = \{ f : M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous} \}$

• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

▶ If $p: E \to B$ is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces *B* path-connected, $b \in B$, $F_b = p^{-1}(b)$:

$$\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$$

► If M is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $\mathrm{TOP}(M,\mathbb{B}) = \{f \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}\$

• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

▶ If $p: E \to B$ is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces *B* path-connected, $b \in B$, $F_b = p^{-1}(b)$:

$$\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$$

► If *M* is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $\mathrm{TOP}(M,\mathbb{B}) = \{f \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}\$

• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

▶ If $p: E \to B$ is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces *B* path-connected, $b \in B$, $F_b = p^{-1}(b)$:

$$\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$$

► If *M* is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $\mathrm{TOP}(M,\mathbb{B}) = \{f \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}\$

• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

▶ If $p: E \to B$ is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces *B* path-connected, $b \in B$, $F_b = p^{-1}(b)$:

$$\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$$

► If *M* is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $TOP(M, \mathbb{B}) = \{f \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}\$

• If X and Y are HF then so are $X \times Y$ and $X \sqcup Y$, and:

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \times Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) \times \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

$$\chi^{\pi}(X \sqcup Y) = \chi^{\pi}(X) + \chi^{\pi}(Y)$$

▶ If $p: E \to B$ is a (Hurewicz) fibration of HF spaces *B* path-connected, $b \in B$, $F_b = p^{-1}(b)$:

$$\chi^{\pi}(E) = \chi^{\pi}(B) \times \chi^{\pi}(F_b)$$

► If *M* is a compact CW-complex, B is HF space. Then the function space below is HF

 $TOP(M, \mathbb{B}) = \{f \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}\$

Quinn's (total homotopy) TQFT Let \mathbb{B} be a HF-space. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

We define a functor: $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}} \colon (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} + 1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob} \to \mathrm{Vect}$

If A is an *n*-manifold then:

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(A) = \mathbb{C}([A,\mathbb{B}])) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{TOP}(A,\mathbb{B})).$

Matrix elements assigned to cobordisms

 $\left\langle \left[f\right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(M) \middle| \left[f'\right] \right\rangle = \chi^{\pi} \left\{ H \colon M \to \mathbb{B} :$

Let \mathbb{B} be a HF-space. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a functor: $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}$: $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1})$ -Cob \rightarrow Vect

▶ If A is an *n*-manifold then:

 $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}([A,\mathbb{B}])) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\mathrm{TOP}(A,\mathbb{B})).$

Matrix elements assigned to cobordisms

 $\left\langle \left[f\right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(M) \middle| \left[f'\right] \right\rangle = \chi^{\pi} \left\{ H \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \right\}$

Let \mathbb{B} be a HF-space. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a functor: $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(s)}$: $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1})$ -Cob \rightarrow Vect

▶ If A is an *n*-manifold then:

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(A) = \mathbb{C}([A,\mathbb{B}])) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{TOP}(A,\mathbb{B})).$

Matrix elements assigned to cobordisms

$$\left\langle \left[f\right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(M) \middle| \left[f'\right] \right\rangle = \chi^{\pi} \left\{ H \colon M \to \mathbb{B} \right\}$$

Let \mathbb{B} be a HF-space. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a functor: $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}$: $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} + 1)$ -Cob \rightarrow Vect

► If A is an *n*-manifold then:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}([A,\mathbb{B}])) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{TOP}(A,\mathbb{B})).$$



Let \mathbb{B} be a HF-space. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a functor: $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)} \colon (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} + 1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob} \to \mathrm{Vect}$

▶ If A is an *n*-manifold then:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}([A,\mathbb{B}])) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{TOP}(A,\mathbb{B})).$$



Let \mathbb{B} be a HF-space. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a functor: $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)} \colon (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} + 1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob} \to \mathrm{Vect}$

▶ If A is an *n*-manifold then:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}([A,\mathbb{B}])) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{TOP}(A,\mathbb{B})).$$



Let \mathbb{B} be a HF-space. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a functor: $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)} \colon (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} + 1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob} \to \mathrm{Vect}$

▶ If A is an *n*-manifold then:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}([A,\mathbb{B}])) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{TOP}(A,\mathbb{B})).$$



Let \mathbb{B} be a HF-space. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a functor: $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)} \colon (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1})\text{-}\mathrm{Cob} \to \mathrm{Vect}$

▶ If A is an *n*-manifold then:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}([A,\mathbb{B}])) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{TOP}(A,\mathbb{B})).$$



Let \mathbb{B} be a HF-space. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a functor: $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}$: $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} + 1)$ -Cob \rightarrow Vect

▶ If A is an *n*-manifold then:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}([A,\mathbb{B}])) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{TOP}(A,\mathbb{B})).$$



Let \mathbb{B} be a HF-space. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a functor: $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)} \colon (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} + 1)\text{-}\mathrm{Cob} \to \mathrm{Vect}$

▶ If A is an *n*-manifold then:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}([A,\mathbb{B}])) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{TOP}(A,\mathbb{B})).$$



 Quinn TQFT F^(s)_B can be twisted by classes in Hⁿ⁺¹(B, U(1)).
 Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then F^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT. Explicitly calculable. Related to gauge theory. Related to Kitzey Quantum double model

Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
\$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.

 (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT. Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatorial
 Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk)

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

> Explicitly calculable. Related to gauge theory. Related to Kitaev Quantum double model.

Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
\$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.

 (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT.
 Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable.
 Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatorial

Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

> **Explicitly calculable.** Related to gauge theory. Related to Kitaev Quantum double model.

Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
\$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.

 (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT.
 Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable.
 Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatorial

Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G. F^(s)_B coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter). Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory. Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT.
 Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable.
 Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatorial
- Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1)).$

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

> **Explicitly calculable.** Related to gauge theory. Related to Kitaev Quantum double model.

Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
F^(s)_B coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.

 (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy n-type TQFT.
 Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable.
 Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatorial

Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

Explicitly calculable. Related to gauge theory.

Related to Kitaev Quantum double model.

Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
F^(s) coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.

 (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT.
 Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatorial

Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

▶ Let G be a finite group. Let \mathbb{B} be the classifying space of G. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}$ coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT.
 Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatorial
- Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

▶ Let G be a finite group. Let \mathbb{B} be the classifying space of G. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}$ coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_B^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT. Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatoria
 Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_B^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2 groups
- Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT. Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatoria
 Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk)

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

> Explicitly calculable. Related to gauge theory. Related to Kitaev Quantum double model.

Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G. F^(s)_B coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).

> **Explicitly calculable**. Related to higher gauge theory. Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.

 (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT.
 Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable.
 Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatoria

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_B^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- ▶ (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s) coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT. Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatorial
 ▶ Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_B^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s) coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT. Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatoria
 Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_B^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT.
 Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatorial

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_{B}^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT. Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_A are combinatorial
 Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk)

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

> Explicitly calculable. Related to gauge theory. Related to Kitaev Quantum double model.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_{B}^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT.

Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}$ are combinatorial. Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

> Explicitly calculable. Related to gauge theory. Related to Kitaev Quantum double model.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_{B}^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT.

Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ are combinatorial. Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

> Explicitly calculable. Related to gauge theory. Related to Kitaev Quantum double model.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_B^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT.

Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}$ are combinatorial. Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

> Explicitly calculable. Related to gauge theory. Related to Kitaev Quantum double model.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_{B}^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then \$\mathcal{F}_B^{(s)}\$ coincides with Porter's homotopy n-type TQFT. Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs \$\mathcal{F}_B^{(s)}\$ are combinatorial.

Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).
Discussion

Quinn TQFT $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}$ can be twisted by classes in $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{B}, U(1))$.

Let G be a finite group. Let B be the classifying space of G. Then 𝓕^(s)_B coincides with Dijkgraaf-Witten TQFT.

> Explicitly calculable. Related to gauge theory. Related to Kitaev Quantum double model.

- Let G be a finite 2 group. Let B be the classifying space of G.
 \$\mathcal{F}_{B}^{(s)}\$ coincides with (twisted) Yetter TQFT (Martins / Porter).
 Explicitly calculable. Related to higher gauge theory.
 Related to higher Kitaev models formulated with 2-groups.
- (Conjecture) If S is a HF simplicial groupoid and B is the geometric realisation of W(S) then F^(s)_B coincides with Porter's homotopy *n*-type TQFT. Also explicitly / combinatorially calculable. Conjecture implies all Quinn's TQFTs F^(s)_B are combinatorial.
 Quinn's TQFT can naturally be 'extended' (not in this talk).

- Let *M* be a manifold.
- A path in M is a piecewise smooth map γ: [0,1] → M. We consider paths up to homotopy, relative to the end-points.

Paths γ_1 and γ_2 are homotopic.

$$(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z) = (x \xrightarrow{\gamma\gamma'} z)$$

Let *M* be a manifold.

A path in M is a piecewise smooth map γ: [0,1] → M. We consider paths up to homotopy, relative to the end-points.

Paths γ_1 and γ_2 are homotopic.

$$(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z) = (x \xrightarrow{\gamma \gamma'} z).$$

- Let *M* be a manifold.
- A path in *M* is a piecewise smooth map $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$.

Paths γ_1 and γ_2 are homotopic.

$$(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z) = (x \xrightarrow{\gamma \gamma'} z).$$

- Let *M* be a manifold.
- A path in M is a piecewise smooth map γ: [0,1] → M. We consider paths up to homotopy, relative to the end-points.

Paths γ_1 and γ_2 are homotopic.

$$(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z) = (x \xrightarrow{\gamma \gamma'} z).$$

- Let *M* be a manifold.
- A path in M is a piecewise smooth map γ: [0,1] → M. We consider paths up to homotopy, relative to the end-points.



Paths γ_1 and γ_2 are homotopic.

$$(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z) = (x \xrightarrow{\gamma \gamma'} z).$$

- Let *M* be a manifold.
- A path in M is a piecewise smooth map γ: [0,1] → M. We consider paths up to homotopy, relative to the end-points.



Paths γ_1 and γ_2 are homotopic.

Denote paths as (x → y), x and y are initial and end-points.
 Paths (x → y) and (y → z) can be concatenated:

 $(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z) = (x \xrightarrow{\gamma \gamma'} z).$

- Let *M* be a manifold.
- A path in M is a piecewise smooth map γ: [0,1] → M. We consider paths up to homotopy, relative to the end-points.



- Let *M* be a manifold.
- A path in M is a piecewise smooth map γ: [0,1] → M. We consider paths up to homotopy, relative to the end-points.



- Let *M* be a manifold.
- A path in M is a piecewise smooth map γ: [0,1] → M. We consider paths up to homotopy, relative to the end-points.



$$(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z) = (x \xrightarrow{\gamma \gamma'} z).$$

- Let *M* be a manifold.
- A path in M is a piecewise smooth map γ: [0,1] → M. We consider paths up to homotopy, relative to the end-points.



$$(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z) = (x \xrightarrow{\gamma \gamma'} z)$$

- Let *M* be a manifold.
- A path in M is a piecewise smooth map γ: [0,1] → M. We consider paths up to homotopy, relative to the end-points.



Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk). Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a.k.a. holonomy) of P:

> $\mathcal{F} \colon \{ \textit{Paths} \mid \textit{in } M \} o G$ $\gamma \longmapsto \mathrm{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_\gamma \in G$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}\big((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)\big) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \ \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$

Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk).

Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a.k.a. holonomy) of P:

 $\mathcal{F}: \{ \textit{Paths} \ \textit{ in } M \}
ightarrow G$ $\gamma \longmapsto \mathrm{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_{\gamma} \in G$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}\big((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)\big) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \ \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$

Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk). Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a k a bolonomy) of P:

> $\mathcal{F}: \{ \textit{Paths} \ in \ M \} \to G$ $\gamma \longmapsto \mathrm{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_{\gamma} \in G$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}\big((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)\big) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \ \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$

Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk). Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a.k.a. holonomy) of P:

> $\mathcal{F} \colon \{ \textit{Paths} \ \textit{ in } M \} o G$ $\gamma \longmapsto \mathrm{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_\gamma \in G$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}\big((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)\big) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \ \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$

Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk). Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a.k.a. holonomy) of P:

> $\mathcal{F}: \{ \textit{Paths} \ in \ M \} \to G$ $\gamma \longmapsto \mathrm{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_\gamma \in$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}\big((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)\big) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \ \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$

Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk). Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a.k.a. holonomy) of P:

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \{ \text{Paths} \quad \text{in } M \} \to G$$

$$\gamma \longmapsto \text{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_{\gamma} \in G$$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}\big((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)\big) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$

Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk). Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a.k.a. holonomy) of P:

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \{ \text{Paths} \quad \text{in } M \} \to G$$

$$\gamma \longmapsto \text{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_{\gamma} \in G$$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}\big((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)\big) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \ \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$

Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk). Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a.k.a. holonomy) of P:

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \{ \text{Paths} \quad \text{in } M \} \to G$$

$$\gamma \longmapsto \operatorname{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_{\gamma} \in G$$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}\big((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)\big) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \ \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$



Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk). Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a.k.a. holonomy) of P:

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \{ \text{Paths} \quad \text{in } M \} \to G$$

$$\gamma \longmapsto \operatorname{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_{\gamma} \in G$$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}\big((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)\big) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \ \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$



Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk). Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a.k.a. holonomy) of P:

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \{ \text{Paths} \quad \text{in } M \} \to G$$

$$\gamma \longmapsto \operatorname{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_{\gamma} \in G$$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$



Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk). Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a.k.a. holonomy) of P:

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \{ \text{Paths} \quad \text{in } M \} \to G$$

$$\gamma \longmapsto \operatorname{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_{\gamma} \in G$$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$



Let G be a group (G will be finite throughout the talk). Given a principal G-bundle $P \rightarrow M$ – i.e. a gauge field –, we have the parallel transport (a.k.a. holonomy) of P:

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \{ \text{Paths} \quad \text{in } M \} \to G$$

$$\gamma \longmapsto \operatorname{hol}^1(\gamma) = g_{\gamma} \in G$$

Recall parallel transport preserves concatenation of paths:

$$\mathcal{F}((x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y)(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)) = \mathcal{F}(x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y) \mathcal{F}(y \xrightarrow{\gamma'} z)$$



Conversely, G-connections can be defined from their holonomy. Since G is finite, and M compact, to reconstruct the G-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a G-connection over M looks like:

 $a, b, c, d, e, f, g \in G.$

Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.

Conversely, G-connections can be defined from their holonomy.

<u>Since G is finite</u>, and M compact, to reconstruct the G-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a G-connection over M looks like:



Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.

Conversely, *G*-connections can be defined from their holonomy. Since *G* is finite, and *M* compact, to reconstruct the *G*-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a *G*-connection over *M* looks like:



Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.

Conversely, *G*-connections can be defined from their holonomy. Since *G* is finite, and *M* compact, to reconstruct the *G*-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a *G*-connection over *M* looks like:



Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.

Conversely, *G*-connections can be defined from their holonomy. Since *G* is finite, and *M* compact, to reconstruct the *G*-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a *G*-connection over *M* looks like:



Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.

Conversely, *G*-connections can be defined from their holonomy. Since *G* is finite, and *M* compact, to reconstruct the *G*-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a *G*-connection over *M* looks like:



Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.

Conversely, *G*-connections can be defined from their holonomy. Since *G* is finite, and *M* compact, to reconstruct the *G*-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a *G*-connection over *M* looks like:



Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.

Conversely, *G*-connections can be defined from their holonomy. Since *G* is finite, and *M* compact, to reconstruct the *G*-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a *G*-connection over *M* looks like:



Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.

Conversely, *G*-connections can be defined from their holonomy. Since *G* is finite, and *M* compact, to reconstruct the *G*-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a *G*-connection over *M* looks like:



Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.

Conversely, *G*-connections can be defined from their holonomy. Since *G* is finite, and *M* compact, to reconstruct the *G*-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a *G*-connection over *M* looks like:



Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.

Conversely, *G*-connections can be defined from their holonomy. Since *G* is finite, and *M* compact, to reconstruct the *G*-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a *G*-connection over *M* looks like:



Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.



Conversely, *G*-connections can be defined from their holonomy. Since *G* is finite, and *M* compact, to reconstruct the *G*-connection we only need to know the holonomy along a finite number of paths. The theory of gauge fields becomes combinatorial / discrete. Combinatorially, a *G*-connection over *M* looks like:



Labels on edges denote holonomy along them.



 $abc = 1_G$
- Higher gauge theory formalises non-abelian holonomy along paths, and also non-abelian holonomy along surfaces.
- Non-abelian holonomy along surfaces is multiplicative with respect to the several ways we can concatenate surfaces.
 (This is why higher category theory arises here.)
- ▶ We need a higher order version of a group: called a "2-group".
- 2-groups are equivalent to crossed modules.
 - A crossed module of groups $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ is given by:
 - a group map $\partial \colon E \to G$,
 - and a left-action of G on E, by automorphisms, such that:
 - 1. $\partial(g \triangleright e) = g\partial(e)g^{-1}$, if $g \in G$ and $e \in E$;
 - 2. $\partial(e) \triangleright e' = ee'e^{-1}$, if $e, e' \in E$.

- Higher gauge theory formalises non-abelian holonomy along paths, and also non-abelian holonomy along surfaces.
- Non-abelian holonomy along surfaces is multiplicative with respect to the several ways we can concatenate surfaces.
 (This is why higher category theory arises here.)
- We need a higher order version of a group: called a "2-group".
 2-groups are equivalent to crossed modules.
 A crossed module of groups G = (∂: E → G, ▷) is given by:
 a group map ∂: E → G,
 and a left-action of G on E, by automorphisms, such that:
 ∂(a ▷ e) = a∂(e)a⁻¹ if a ∈ G and e ∈ F:
 - 2. $\partial(e) \triangleright e' = ee'e^{-1}$, if $e, e' \in E$.

- Higher gauge theory formalises non-abelian holonomy along paths, and also non-abelian holonomy along surfaces.
- Non-abelian holonomy along surfaces is multiplicative with respect to the several ways we can concatenate surfaces.

(This is why higher category theory arises here.)

- We need a higher order version of a group: called a "2-group".
 2-groups are equivalent to crossed modules.
 A crossed module of groups G = (∂: E → G, ▷) is given by:
 a group map ∂: E → G,
 and a left-action of G on E, by automorphisms, such that:
 1. ∂(g ▷ e) = g∂(e)g⁻¹, if g ∈ G and e ∈ E;
 - 2. $\partial(e) \triangleright e' = ee'e^{-1}$, if $e, e' \in E$.

- Higher gauge theory formalises non-abelian holonomy along paths, and also non-abelian holonomy along surfaces.
- Non-abelian holonomy along surfaces is multiplicative with respect to the several ways we can concatenate surfaces. (This is why higher category theory arises here.)
- We need a higher order version of a group: called a "2-group".
 2-groups are equivalent to crossed modules.
 A crossed module of groups G = (∂: E → G, ▷) is given by:
 a group map ∂: E → G,
 and a left-action of G on E, by automorphisms, such that:
 1. ∂(g ▷ e) = g∂(e)g⁻¹, if g ∈ G and e ∈ E;

- Higher gauge theory formalises non-abelian holonomy along paths, and also non-abelian holonomy along surfaces.
- Non-abelian holonomy along surfaces is multiplicative with respect to the several ways we can concatenate surfaces. (This is why higher category theory arises here.)
- We need a higher order version of a group: called a "2-group".
 2-groups are equivalent to crossed modules. A crossed module of groups G = (∂: E → G, ▷) is given by:
 a group map ∂: E → G,
 and a left-action of G on E, by automorphisms, such that:
 1. ∂(g ▷ e) = g∂(e)g⁻¹, if g ∈ G and e ∈ E;
 - 2. $\partial(e) \triangleright e' = ee'e^{-1}$, if $e, e' \in E$.

- Higher gauge theory formalises non-abelian holonomy along paths, and also non-abelian holonomy along surfaces.
- Non-abelian holonomy along surfaces is multiplicative with respect to the several ways we can concatenate surfaces. (This is why higher category theory arises here.)
- We need a higher order version of a group: called a "2-group".
 2-groups are equivalent to crossed modules. A crossed module of groups G = (∂: E → G, ▷) is given by:
 a group map ∂: E → G,
 and a left-action of G on E, by automorphisms, such that:
 - 1. $\partial(g \triangleright e) = g \partial(e) g^{-1}$, if $g \in G$ and $e \in E$;
 - 2. $\partial(e) \triangleright e' = ee'e^{-1}$, if $e, e' \in E$.

- Higher gauge theory formalises non-abelian holonomy along paths, and also non-abelian holonomy along surfaces.
- Non-abelian holonomy along surfaces is multiplicative with respect to the several ways we can concatenate surfaces. (This is why higher category theory arises here.)
- We need a higher order version of a group: called a "2-group".
 2-groups are equivalent to crossed modules. A crossed module of groups G = (∂: E → G, ▷) is given by:

• a group map $\partial \colon E \to G$,

• and a left-action of G on E, by automorphisms, such that:

1. $\partial(g \triangleright e) = g\partial(e)g^{-1}$, if $g \in G$ and $e \in E$;

2. $\partial(e) \triangleright e' = ee'e^{-1}$, if $e, e' \in E$.

- Higher gauge theory formalises non-abelian holonomy along paths, and also non-abelian holonomy along surfaces.
- Non-abelian holonomy along surfaces is multiplicative with respect to the several ways we can concatenate surfaces. (This is why higher category theory arises here.)
- We need a higher order version of a group: called a "2-group".
 2-groups are equivalent to crossed modules.
 A crossed module of groups G = (∂: E → G, ▷) is given by:
 a group map ∂: E → G,
 and a left-action of G on E, by automorphisms, such that:
 1. ∂(g ▷ e) = g∂(e)g⁻¹, if g ∈ G and e ∈ E;

- Higher gauge theory formalises non-abelian holonomy along paths, and also non-abelian holonomy along surfaces.
- Non-abelian holonomy along surfaces is multiplicative with respect to the several ways we can concatenate surfaces. (This is why higher category theory arises here.)
- We need a higher order version of a group: called a "2-group".
 2-groups are equivalent to crossed modules. A crossed module of groups G = (∂: E → G, ▷) is given by:
 - ▶ a group map $\partial : E \to G$,
 - ▶ and a left-action of G on E, by automorphisms, such that:

1. $\partial(g \triangleright e) = g\partial(e)g^{-1}$, if $g \in G$ and $e \in E$;

2. $\partial(e) \triangleright e' = ee'e^{-1}$, if $e, e' \in E$.

- Higher gauge theory formalises non-abelian holonomy along paths, and also non-abelian holonomy along surfaces.
- Non-abelian holonomy along surfaces is multiplicative with respect to the several ways we can concatenate surfaces. (This is why higher category theory arises here.)
- We need a higher order version of a group: called a "2-group".
 2-groups are equivalent to crossed modules. A crossed module of groups G = (∂: E → G, ▷) is given by:
 - ▶ a group map $\partial : E \to G$,
 - ▶ and a left-action of G on E, by automorphisms, such that:

1.
$$\partial(g \triangleright e) = g \partial(e)g^{-1}$$
, if $g \in G$ and $e \in E$;

2. $\partial(e) \triangleright e' = ee'e^{-1}$, if $e, e' \in E$.

- Higher gauge theory formalises non-abelian holonomy along paths, and also non-abelian holonomy along surfaces.
- Non-abelian holonomy along surfaces is multiplicative with respect to the several ways we can concatenate surfaces. (This is why higher category theory arises here.)
- We need a higher order version of a group: called a "2-group".
 2-groups are equivalent to crossed modules. A crossed module of groups G = (∂: E → G, ▷) is given by:
 - ▶ a group map $\partial : E \to G$,
 - ▶ and a left-action of *G* on *E*, by automorphisms, such that:

1.
$$\partial(g \triangleright e) = g \partial(e)g^{-1}$$
, if $g \in G$ and $e \in E$;

2.
$$\partial(e) \triangleright e' = ee'e^{-1}$$
, if $e, e' \in E$.

































Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in \mathcal{G} are: associative, and have units and inverses. The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed. As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in $\mathcal G$ are:

associative, and have units and inverses. The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed. As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in $\mathcal G$ are:

associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed. As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in \mathcal{G} are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed. As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in ${\cal G}$ are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed. As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in ${\cal G}$ are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed. As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in ${\cal G}$ are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed. As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in ${\cal G}$ are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed. As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in ${\cal G}$ are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed.

As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in ${\cal G}$ are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed.

As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in ${\cal G}$ are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed.

As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in ${\cal G}$ are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed.

As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in ${\cal G}$ are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed.

As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in ${\cal G}$ are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed.

As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



Horizontal and vertical compositions of bigons in ${\cal G}$ are: associative, and have units and inverses.

The interchange law is satisfied. This means that the evaluation of



does not depend on the order whereby it is performed.

As a consequence, evaluations of more complicated diagrams like:



2-dimensional holonomy

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by: Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' : [0,1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point. A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma : [0,1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' . Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2)$. Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let M be a manifold; G a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

 $\{Geometric bigons in M\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \{Bigons in \mathcal{G}\}$

Preserving horizontal and vertical compositions.

2-dimensional holonomy

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by:

Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' : [0, 1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point. A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma : [0, 1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' . Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0, 1]^2)$. Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let M be a manifold; G a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

 $\{Geometric \ bigons \ in \ M\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \{Bigons \ in \ \mathcal{G}\}$

Preserving horizontal and vertical compositions.
A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by: Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' : [0,1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point. A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma : [0,1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' . Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2)$. Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let M be a manifold; G a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

 $\{Geometric \ bigons \ in \ M\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \{Bigons \ in \ \mathcal{G}\}$

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by: Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' \colon [0,1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point. A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma \colon [0,1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' . Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2)$. Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let M be a manifold; G a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

 $\{Geometric bigons in M\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \{Bigons in \mathcal{G}\}$

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by:

Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' \colon [0,1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point.

A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma \colon [0,1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' .

 Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2)$.

Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let M be a manifold; G a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

 $\{Geometric bigons in M\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \{Bigons in \mathcal{G}\}$

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by: Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' \colon [0,1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point. A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma \colon [0,1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' . Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2)$. Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let M be a manifold; G a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

 $\{Geometric bigons in M\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \{Bigons in \mathcal{G}\}$

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by:

Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' : [0, 1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point. A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma : [0, 1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' .

 Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2)$.

Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let M be a manifold; G a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

 $\{Geometric bigons in M\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \{Bigons in \mathcal{G}\}$

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by:

Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' \colon [0, 1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point.

A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma \colon [0,1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' .

 Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2)$.

Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let *M* be a manifold; *G* a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

 $\{$ Geometric bigons in $M\} \xrightarrow{F} \{$ Bigons in $\mathcal{G}\}$

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by:

Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' \colon [0,1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point.

A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma \colon [0,1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' .

 Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2)$.

Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let *M* be a manifold; *G* a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

 $\{\text{Geometric bigons in } M\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \{\text{Bigons in } \mathcal{G}\}$

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by:

Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' \colon [0,1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point.

A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma \colon [0,1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' .

 Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2).$

Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let M be a manifold; \mathcal{G} a crossed module.

A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

{Geometric bigons in M} $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}}$ {Bigons in \mathcal{G} }

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by:

Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' \colon [0,1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point.

A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma \colon [0,1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' .

 Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2).$

Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let *M* be a manifold; *G* a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

{Geometric bigons in M} $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}}$ {Bigons in \mathcal{G} }

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by:

Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' \colon [0, 1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point.

A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma \colon [0,1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' .

 Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2).$

Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let *M* be a manifold; *G* a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

{Geometric bigons in M} $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}}$ {Bigons in \mathcal{G} }

A geometric bigon on in a manifold M is given by:

Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' \colon [0, 1] \to M$, with the same initial and end-point.

A homotopy (i.e. a 'surface') $\Sigma : [0,1]^2 \to M$, connecting γ and γ' .

 Σ is considered up to homotopy relative to $\partial([0,1]^2).$

Geometric bigons are represented as:



Geometric bigons can be concatenated horizontally and vertically.

Definition Let *M* be a manifold; *G* a crossed module. A 2-dimensional holonomy (i.e. a higher gauge field) is a map:

{Geometric bigons in M} $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}}$ {Bigons in \mathcal{G} }



Note: for Lie crossed modules $(\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$, 2-dimensional holonomies arise from pairs $A \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and $B \in \Omega^2(M, \mathfrak{e})$, with $\partial(B) = Curv_A = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$.



Note: for Lie crossed modules $(\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$, 2-dimensional holonomies arise from pairs $A \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and $B \in \Omega^2(M, \mathfrak{e})$, with $\partial(B) = Curv_A = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$.



Note: for Lie crossed modules $(\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$, 2-dimensional holonomies arise from pairs $A \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and $B \in \Omega^2(M, \mathfrak{e})$, with $\partial(B) = Curv_A = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$.



Note: for Lie crossed modules $(\partial : E \to G, \triangleright)$, 2-dimensional holonomies arise from pairs $A \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and $B \in \Omega^2(M, \mathfrak{e})$, with $\partial(B) = Curv_A = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A discrete 2-connection \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

 $\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$

satisfying the **fake-flatness condition**, namely: If we have a configuration like:

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module.

Let *M* be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of *M*. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A discrete 2-connection \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

 $\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$

satisfying the fake-flatness condition, namely: If we have a configuration like:

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial : E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role A discrete 2-connection \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment: $\eta \in L^1 \mapsto g_{\gamma} \in G$ and $P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E$, satisfying the fake-flatness condition, namely:

If we have a configuration like:

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role. A **discrete 2-connection** \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

If we have a configuration like:

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial : E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A **discrete 2-connection** \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

 $\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$

satisfying the **fake-flatness condition**, namely: If we have a configuration like:

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A discrete 2-connection \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

 $\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$



Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A discrete 2-connection ${\mathcal F}$ is given by an assignment:

 $\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$



Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A discrete 2-connection \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

 $\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$



Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A discrete 2-connection \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

 $\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$



Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A discrete 2-connection \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

$$\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$$



Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A discrete 2-connection \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

$$\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$$

satisfying the fake-flatness condition, namely:

If we have a configuration like:



Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A **discrete 2-connection** \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

$$\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$$



Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A **discrete 2-connection** \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

$$\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$$



Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A discrete 2-connection \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

$$\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$$



Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let M be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary. Let $L = (L^0, L^1, L^2, L^3...)$ be a CW-decomposition of M. In HGT 3-cells $b \in L^3$ (called blobs) have an important role.

A discrete 2-connection \mathcal{F} is given by an assignment:

$$\gamma \in L^1 \mapsto g_\gamma \in G \text{ and } P \in L^2 \mapsto e_P \in E,$$



Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let \mathcal{F} be a discrete 2-connection.

- Theorem Let Σ be a 2-sphere cellularly embedded in M, v ∈ Σ, an 'initial point'. We have a surface-holonomy: Hol²_v(F, Σ) ∈ ker(∂) ⊂ E.
 - This surface-holonomy depends only on the starting point $v \in \Sigma$, and not in the way whereby we combine 2-cells.

For example, consider the discrete 2-connection on the tetrahedron Σ , below, based on the bottom left corner v_0 .

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module.

Let \mathcal{F} be a discrete 2-connection.

- Theorem Let Σ be a 2-sphere cellularly embedded in M, $v \in \Sigma$, an 'initial point'. We have a surface-holonomy: $Hol_v^2(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma) \in \ker(\partial) \subset E$.
 - This surface-holonomy depends only on the starting point $v \in \Sigma$, and not in the way whereby we combine 2-cells.

For example, consider the discrete 2-connection on the tetrahedron Σ , below, based on the bottom left corner v_0 .

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module. Let \mathcal{F} be a discrete 2-connection.

Theorem Let Σ be a 2-sphere cellularly embedded in M, v ∈ Σ, an 'initial point'. We have a surface-holonomy: Hol²_v(F, Σ) ∈ ker(∂) ⊂ E.

This surface-holonomy depends only on the starting point $v \in \Sigma$, and not in the way whereby we combine 2-cells.

For example, consider the discrete 2-connection on the tetrahedron Σ , below, based on the bottom left corner v_0 .

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module.

Let \mathcal{F} be a discrete 2-connection.

Theorem Let Σ be a 2-sphere cellularly embedded in M, v ∈ Σ, an 'initial point'. We have a surface-holonomy: Hol²_v(F, Σ) ∈ ker(∂) ⊂ E. This surface-holonomy depends only on the starting point v ∈ Σ, and not in the way whereby we combine 2-cells.

tetrahedron Σ , below, based on the bottom left corner v_0 .

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module.

Let \mathcal{F} be a discrete 2-connection.

- Theorem Let Σ be a 2-sphere cellularly embedded in M, ν ∈ Σ, an 'initial point'. We have a surface-holonomy: Hol²_ν(F, Σ) ∈ ker(∂) ⊂ E.
 - This surface-holonomy depends only on the starting point $v \in \Sigma$, and not in the way whereby we combine 2-cells.

For example, consider the discrete 2-connection on the tetrahedron Σ , below, based on the bottom left corner v_0 .

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module.

Let \mathcal{F} be a discrete 2-connection.

Theorem Let Σ be a 2-sphere cellularly embedded in M, v ∈ Σ, an 'initial point'. We have a surface-holonomy: Hol²_v(F, Σ) ∈ ker(∂) ⊂ E.

This surface-holonomy depends only on the starting point $v \in \Sigma$, and not in the way whereby we combine 2-cells.

For example, consider the discrete 2-connection on the tetrahedron Σ , below, based on the bottom left corner v_0 .
Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module.

Let \mathcal{F} be a discrete 2-connection.

Theorem Let Σ be a 2-sphere cellularly embedded in M, v ∈ Σ, an 'initial point'. We have a surface-holonomy: Hol²_v(F, Σ) ∈ ker(∂) ⊂ E.

This surface-holonomy depends only on the starting point $v \in \Sigma$, and not in the way whereby we combine 2-cells.

For example, consider the discrete 2-connection on the tetrahedron Σ , below, based on the bottom left corner v_0 .

Then $\operatorname{Hol}_{v_0}^2(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma) = e_1 \ e_2^{-1} \ e_3^{-1} \ g_{01} \triangleright e_4$

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module.

Let \mathcal{F} be a discrete 2-connection.

Theorem Let Σ be a 2-sphere cellularly embedded in M, v ∈ Σ, an 'initial point'. We have a surface-holonomy: Hol²_v(F, Σ) ∈ ker(∂) ⊂ E.

This surface-holonomy depends only on the starting point $v \in \Sigma$, and not in the way whereby we combine 2-cells.

For example, consider the discrete 2-connection on the tetrahedron Σ , below, based on the bottom left corner v_0 .

Then $\operatorname{Hol}_{v_0}^2(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma) = e_1 \ e_2^{-1} \ e_3^{-1} \ g_{01} \triangleright e_4$

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module.

Let \mathcal{F} be a discrete 2-connection.

Theorem Let Σ be a 2-sphere cellularly embedded in M, v ∈ Σ, an 'initial point'. We have a surface-holonomy: Hol²_v(F, Σ) ∈ ker(∂) ⊂ E.

This surface-holonomy depends only on the starting point $v \in \Sigma$, and not in the way whereby we combine 2-cells.

For example, consider the discrete 2-connection on the tetrahedron Σ , below, based on the bottom left corner v_0 .

Then $\operatorname{Hol}_{v_0}^2(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma) = e_1 \ e_2^{-1} \ e_3^{-1} \ g_{01} \triangleright e_4$

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module.

Let \mathcal{F} be a discrete 2-connection.

• Theorem Let Σ be a 2-sphere cellularly embedded in M, $v \in \Sigma$, an 'initial point'. We have a surface-holonomy: $Hol_v^2(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma) \in \ker(\partial) \subset E$.

This surface-holonomy depends only on the starting point $v \in \Sigma$, and not in the way whereby we combine 2-cells.

For example, consider the discrete 2-connection on the tetrahedron Σ , below, based on the bottom left corner v_0 .



Let $\mathcal{G} = (\partial \colon E \to G, \triangleright)$ be a crossed module.

Let \mathcal{F} be a discrete 2-connection.

• Theorem Let Σ be a 2-sphere cellularly embedded in M, $v \in \Sigma$, an 'initial point'. We have a surface-holonomy: $Hol_v^2(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma) \in \ker(\partial) \subset E$.

This surface-holonomy depends only on the starting point $v \in \Sigma$, and not in the way whereby we combine 2-cells.

For example, consider the discrete 2-connection on the tetrahedron Σ , below, based on the bottom left corner v_0 .



A crossed complex is given by a complex

$$\mathcal{C} := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

of groupoids, all with object set C_0 . Such that:

- All groupoids for C_i , $i \ge 2$ are totally disconnected.
- All boundary maps are the identity over the object C₀.
- We have an action of C_1 over on all groupoids C_i , $i \ge 2$
- All boundary maps preserve the action.
- Peiffer 1: If $x \xrightarrow{B} y \in C_1$ and $K \in C(y, y)$ then:

- ▶ Peiffer 2: If $K, L \in \mathbb{C}_2(y, y)$ then $\partial(K) \triangleright L = KLK^{-1}$
- The action of $\partial(C_2)$ is trivial on all groupoids C_i for $i \geq 3$.
- C_i is abelian if $i \ge 3$.

A crossed complex is given by a complex

$$\mathcal{C} := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

of groupoids, all with object set C_0 . Such that:

- All groupoids for C_i , $i \ge 2$ are totally disconnected.
- All boundary maps are the identity over the object C₀.
- We have an action of C_1 over on all groupoids C_i , $i \ge 2$
- All boundary maps preserve the action.
- Peiffer 1: If $x \xrightarrow{B} y \in C_1$ and $K \in C(y, y)$ then:

- Peiffer 2: If $K, L \in \mathbb{C}_2(y, y)$ then $\partial(K) \triangleright L = KLK^{-1}$
- The action of $\partial(C_2)$ is trivial on all groupoids C_i for $i \geq 3$.
- C_i is abelian if $i \ge 3$.

A crossed complex is given by a complex

$$\mathcal{C} := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

of groupoids, all with object set C_0 . Such that:

- All groupoids for C_i , $i \ge 2$ are totally disconnected.
- All boundary maps are the identity over the object C₀.
- We have an action of C_1 over on all groupoids C_i , $i \ge 2$
- All boundary maps preserve the action.
- Peiffer 1: If $x \xrightarrow{B} y \in C_1$ and $K \in C(y, y)$ then:

- Peiffer 2: If $K, L \in \mathbb{C}_2(y, y)$ then $\partial(K) \triangleright L = KLK^{-1}$
- The action of $\partial(C_2)$ is trivial on all groupoids C_i for $i \geq 3$.
- C_i is abelian if $i \ge 3$.

A crossed complex is given by a complex

$$\mathcal{C} := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

of groupoids, all with object set C_0 . Such that:

- ▶ All groupoids for C_i , $i \ge 2$ are totally disconnected.
- All boundary maps are the identity over the object C_0 .
- We have an action of C_1 over on all groupoids C_i , $i \ge 2$
- All boundary maps preserve the action.
- Peiffer 1: If $x \xrightarrow{B} y \in C_1$ and $K \in C(y, y)$ then:

- Peiffer 2: If $K, L \in \mathbb{C}_2(y, y)$ then $\partial(K) \triangleright L = KLK^{-1}$
- The action of $\partial(C_2)$ is trivial on all groupoids C_i for $i \geq 3$.
- C_i is abelian if $i \ge 3$.

A crossed complex is given by a complex

$$\mathcal{C} := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

of groupoids, all with object set C_0 . Such that:

- All groupoids for C_i , $i \ge 2$ are totally disconnected.
- All boundary maps are the identity over the object C_0 .
- We have an action of C_1 over on all groupoids C_i , $i \ge 2$

All boundary maps preserve the action.

• Peiffer 1: If $x \xrightarrow{g} y \in C_1$ and $K \in C(y, y)$ then:

- Peiffer 2: If $K, L \in \mathbb{C}_2(y, y)$ then $\partial(K) \triangleright L = KLK^{-1}$
- The action of $\partial(C_2)$ is trivial on all groupoids C_i for $i \geq 3$.
- C_i is abelian if $i \ge 3$.

A crossed complex is given by a complex

$$\mathcal{C} := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

of groupoids, all with object set C_0 . Such that:

- ▶ All groupoids for C_i , $i \ge 2$ are totally disconnected.
- All boundary maps are the identity over the object C_0 .
- We have an action of C_1 over on all groupoids C_i , $i \ge 2$

All boundary maps preserve the action.

Peiffer 1: If $x \xrightarrow{g} y \in C_1$ and $K \in C(y, y)$ then:

- Peiffer 2: If $K, L \in \mathbb{C}_2(y, y)$ then $\partial(K) \triangleright L = KLK^{-1}$
- The action of $\partial(C_2)$ is trivial on all groupoids C_i for $i \geq 3$.
- C_i is abelian if $i \ge 3$.

A crossed complex is given by a complex

$$\mathcal{C} := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

of groupoids, all with object set C_0 . Such that:

- ▶ All groupoids for C_i , $i \ge 2$ are totally disconnected.
- All boundary maps are the identity over the object C_0 .
- We have an action of C_1 over on all groupoids C_i , $i \ge 2$
- All boundary maps preserve the action.
- Peiffer 1: If $x \xrightarrow{g} y \in C_1$ and $K \in C(y, y)$ then:

- ▶ Peiffer 2: If $K, L \in \mathbb{C}_2(y, y)$ then $\partial(K) \triangleright L = KLK^{-1}$
- The action of $\partial(C_2)$ is trivial on all groupoids C_i for $i \geq 3$.
- C_i is abelian if $i \ge 3$.

A crossed complex is given by a complex

$$\mathcal{C} := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

of groupoids, all with object set C_0 . Such that:

- ▶ All groupoids for C_i , $i \ge 2$ are totally disconnected.
- All boundary maps are the identity over the object C_0 .
- We have an action of C_1 over on all groupoids C_i , $i \ge 2$
- All boundary maps preserve the action.
- Peiffer 1: If $x \xrightarrow{g} y \in C_1$ and $K \in C(y, y)$ then:

$$\partial(g \triangleright K) = g\partial(K)g^{-1}$$

▶ Peiffer 2: If $K, L \in \mathbb{C}_2(y, y)$ then $\partial(K) \triangleright L = KLK^{-1}$

The action of ∂(C₂) is trivial on all groupoids C_i for i ≥ 3.
 C_i is abelian if i ≥ 3.

A crossed complex is given by a complex

$$\mathcal{C} := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

of groupoids, all with object set C_0 . Such that:

- ▶ All groupoids for C_i , $i \ge 2$ are totally disconnected.
- All boundary maps are the identity over the object C_0 .
- We have an action of C_1 over on all groupoids C_i , $i \ge 2$
- All boundary maps preserve the action.
- Peiffer 1: If $x \xrightarrow{g} y \in C_1$ and $K \in C(y, y)$ then:

$$\partial(g \triangleright K) = g\partial(K)g^{-1}$$

Peiffer 2: If K, L ∈ C₂(y, y) then ∂(K) ▷ L = KLK⁻¹
The action of ∂(C₂) is trivial on all groupoids C_i for i ≥ 3.
C_i is abelian if i ≥ 3.

A crossed complex is given by a complex

$$\mathcal{C} := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

of groupoids, all with object set C_0 . Such that:

- ▶ All groupoids for C_i , $i \ge 2$ are totally disconnected.
- All boundary maps are the identity over the object C_0 .
- We have an action of C_1 over on all groupoids C_i , $i \ge 2$
- All boundary maps preserve the action.
- Peiffer 1: If $x \xrightarrow{g} y \in C_1$ and $K \in C(y, y)$ then:

$$\partial(g \triangleright K) = g\partial(K)g^{-1}$$

▶ Peiffer 2: If $K, L \in \mathbb{C}_2(y, y)$ then $\partial(K) \triangleright L = KLK^{-1}$

The action of ∂(C₂) is trivial on all groupoids C_i for i ≥ 3.
 C_i is abelian if i ≥ 3.

A crossed complex is given by a complex

$$\mathcal{C} := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

of groupoids, all with object set C_0 . Such that:

- ▶ All groupoids for C_i , $i \ge 2$ are totally disconnected.
- All boundary maps are the identity over the object C_0 .
- We have an action of C_1 over on all groupoids C_i , $i \ge 2$
- All boundary maps preserve the action.
- Peiffer 1: If $x \xrightarrow{g} y \in C_1$ and $K \in C(y, y)$ then:

$$\partial(g \triangleright K) = g\partial(K)g^{-1}$$

- ▶ Peiffer 2: If $K, L \in \mathbb{C}_2(y, y)$ then $\partial(K) \triangleright L = KLK^{-1}$
- The action of $\partial(C_2)$ is trivial on all groupoids C_i for $i \geq 3$.
- C_i is abelian if $i \ge 3$.

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

The category of crossed complexes is equivalent to the category of strict ω -groupoids.

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

The category of crossed complexes is equivalent to the category of strict ω -groupoids.

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

The category of crossed complexes is equivalent to the category of strict ω -groupoids.

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

The category of crossed complexes is equivalent to the category of strict ω -groupoids.

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

Let X be a CW-complex. Then the sequence

$$\Pi(X) := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}, X^0)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_2(X^2, X^1, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_1(X^1, X^0)$$

is a totally free crossed complex with object set X_0 . The nerve \mathcal{NC} of the crossed complex

$$\mathcal{C}=\ldots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \ldots \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

is the simplicial sets given by all maps $\Pi(\Delta(n)) o \mathcal{C}.$

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

Let X be a CW-complex. Then the sequence

$$\Pi(X) := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}, X^0)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_2(X^2, X^1, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_1(X^1, X^0)$$

is a totally free crossed complex with object set X_0 . The nerve \mathcal{NC} of the crossed complex

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

is the simplicial sets given by all maps $\Pi(\Delta(n)) o \mathcal{C}.$

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

Theorem (Brown-Higgins) Let X be a CW-complex. Then the sequence

$$\Pi(X) := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}, X^0)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_2(X^2, X^1, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_1(X^1, X^0)$$

is a totally free crossed complex with object set X_0 . The nerve \mathcal{NC} of the crossed complex

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

is the simplicial sets given by all maps $\Pi(\Delta(n)) o \mathcal{C}.$

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

Theorem (Brown-Higgins) Let X be a CW-complex. Then the sequence

$$\Pi(X) := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}, X^0)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_2(X^2, X^1, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_1(X^1, X^0)$$

is a totally free crossed complex with object set X_0 . The nerve \mathcal{NC} of the crossed complex

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

is the simplicial sets given by all maps $\Pi(\Delta(n)) o \mathcal{C}.$

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

The homotopy groups of the realisation of NC coincide with the homology groups of C.

Theorem (Brown-Higgins) Let X be a CW-complex. Then the sequence

$$\Pi(X) := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}, X^0)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_2(X^2, X^1, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_1(X^1, X^0)$$

is a totally free crossed complex with object set X_0 . The nerve \mathcal{NC} of the crossed complex

$$C = \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

is the simplicial sets given by all maps $\Pi(\Delta(n)) o \mathcal{C}.$

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

Theorem (Brown-Higgins) Let X be a CW-complex. Then the sequence

$$\Pi(X) := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}, X^0)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_2(X^2, X^1, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_1(X^1, X^0)$$

is a totally free crossed complex with object set X_0 . The nerve \mathcal{NC} of the crossed complex

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

is the simplicial sets given by all maps $\Pi(\Delta(n)) o \mathcal{C}.$

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

Theorem (Brown-Higgins) Let X be a CW-complex. Then the sequence

$$\Pi(X) := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}, X^0)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_2(X^2, X^1, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_1(X^1, X^0)$$

is a totally free crossed complex with object set X_0 . The nerve \mathcal{NC} of the crossed complex

$$\mathcal{C} = \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

is the simplicial sets given by all maps $\Pi(\Delta(n)) \to \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

Theorem (Brown-Higgins) Let X be a CW-complex. Then the sequence

$$\Pi(X) := \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}, X^0)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_2(X^2, X^1, X^0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_1(X^1, X^0)$$

is a totally free crossed complex with object set X_0 . The nerve \mathcal{NC} of the crossed complex

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

is the simplicial sets given by all maps $\Pi(\Delta(n)) \to \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem (Brown-Higgins)

Let *M* be a manifold with triangulation *t*. Let M_t be corresponding CW-complex. Consider:

Maps $f : \Pi(M_t) \to C$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with *C*-colorings: \blacktriangleright a map f_0 : Vertices $(M_t) \to C_0$

• a map f_1 : edges $(M_t) o C_1$, looking like:

 $f_0(v_0) \xrightarrow{f_1(\gamma)} f_0(v_1)$ at each edge $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v_1$. of M_t

• a map f_2 : triangles $(M_t) o C_2$, looking like:



Let M be a manifold with triangulation t.

Let M_t be corresponding CW-complex. Consider:

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

Maps $f: \Pi(M_t) \to C$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with *C*-colorings: \blacktriangleright a map f_0 : Vertices $(M_t) \to C_0$

• a map f_1 : edges $(M_t) o C_1$, looking like:

 $f_0(v_0) \xrightarrow{f_1(\gamma)} f_0(v_1)$ at each edge $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v_1$. of M_t

• a map f_2 : triangles $(M_t) o C_2$, looking like:



Let M be a manifold with triangulation t. Let M_t be corresponding CW-complex. Consider:

 $\mathcal{C} = \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$

Maps $f : \Pi(M_t) \to C$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with *C*-colorings: \blacktriangleright a map f_0 : Vertices $(M_t) \to C_0$

• a map f_1 : edges $(M_t) o C_1$, looking like:

 $f_0(v_0) \xrightarrow{f_1(\gamma)} f_0(v_1)$ at each edge $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v_1$. of M_t

• a map f_2 : triangles $(M_t) o C_2$, looking like:



Let M be a manifold with triangulation t. Let M_t be corresponding CW-complex. Consider:

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

Maps $f: \Pi(M_t) \to C$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with C-colorings: a map $f_0: \operatorname{Vertices}(M_t) \to C_0$

lacksim a map f_1 : edges $(M_t) o C_1$, looking like:

 $f_0(v_0) \xrightarrow{h_1(\gamma)} f_0(v_1)$ at each edge $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v_1$. of M_t

• a map f_2 : triangles $(M_t) o C_2$, looking like:



Let M be a manifold with triangulation t. Let M_t be corresponding CW-complex. Consider:

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

Maps $f: \Pi(M_t) \to C$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with *C*-colorings: \blacktriangleright a map $f_0: Vertices(M_t) \to C_0$

▶ a map f_1 : edges $(M_t) o C_1$, looking like:

 $f_0(v_0) \xrightarrow{t_1(\gamma)} f_0(v_1)$ at each edge $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v_1$. of M_t

▶ a map f_2 : triangles $(M_t) o C_2$, looking like:



Let M be a manifold with triangulation t. Let M_t be corresponding CW-complex. Consider:

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

Maps $f : \Pi(M_t) \to C$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with *C*-colorings: • a map f_0 : Vertices $(M_t) \to C_0$

▶ a map f_1 : edges $(M_t) \rightarrow C_1$, looking like:

 $f_0(v_0) \xrightarrow{f_1(\gamma)} f_0(v_1)$ at each edge $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v_1$. of M_t

• a map f_2 : triangles $(M_t) \rightarrow C_2$, looking like:



Let M be a manifold with triangulation t. Let M_t be corresponding CW-complex. Consider:

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

Maps $f : \Pi(M_t) \to C$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with *C*-colorings: • a map f_0 : Vertices $(M_t) \to C_0$

▶ a map f_1 : edges $(M_t) \rightarrow C_1$, looking like:

 $f_0(v_0) \xrightarrow{t_1(\gamma)} f_0(v_1)$ at each edge $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v_1$. of M_t

• a map f_2 : triangles $(M_t) \rightarrow C_2$, looking like:



Let M be a manifold with triangulation t. Let M_t be corresponding CW-complex. Consider:

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

Maps $f : \Pi(M_t) \to C$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with *C*-colorings: • a map f_0 : Vertices $(M_t) \to C_0$

▶ a map f_1 : edges $(M_t) \rightarrow C_1$, looking like:

$$f_0(v_0) \xrightarrow{f_1(\gamma)} f_0(v_1) \text{ at each edge } v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v_1. \text{ of } M_t$$

• a map f_2 : triangles $(M_t) \rightarrow C_2$, looking like:


C-colourings (C a crossed complex)

Let M be a manifold with triangulation t. Let M_t be corresponding CW-complex. Consider:

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

Maps $f : \Pi(M_t) \to C$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with *C*-colorings: a map f_0 : Vertices $(M_t) \to C_0$

▶ a map f_1 : edges $(M_t) \rightarrow C_1$, looking like:

 $f_0(v_0) \xrightarrow{f_1(\gamma)} f_0(v_1) \text{ at each edge } v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v_1. \text{ of } M_t$

• a map f_2 : triangles $(M_t) \rightarrow C_2$, looking like:



Rule: boundary of element associated to a n + 1-simplex is the n dimensional holonomy around boundary of simplex.

C-colourings (C a crossed complex)

Let M be a manifold with triangulation t. Let M_t be corresponding CW-complex. Consider:

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{C}_1$$

Maps $f : \Pi(M_t) \to C$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with *C*-colorings: • a map f_0 : Vertices $(M_t) \to C_0$

▶ a map f_1 : edges $(M_t) \rightarrow C_1$, looking like:

 $f_0(v_0) \xrightarrow{f_1(\gamma)} f_0(v_1) \text{ at each edge } v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v_1. \text{ of } M_t$

▶ a map f_2 : triangles $(M_t) \rightarrow C_2$, looking like:



Rule: boundary of element associated to a n + 1-simplex is the n dimensional holonomy around boundary of simplex.

C-colourings (C a crossed complex)

Let M be a manifold with triangulation t. Let M_t be corresponding CW-complex. Consider:

$$\mathcal{C} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1$$

Maps $f : \Pi(M_t) \to C$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with *C*-colorings: • a map f_0 : Vertices $(M_t) \to C_0$

▶ a map f_1 : edges $(M_t) \rightarrow C_1$, looking like:

$$f_0(v_0) \xrightarrow{f_1(\gamma)} f_0(v_1)$$
 at each edge $v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} v_1$. of M_t

▶ a map f_2 : triangles $(M_t) \rightarrow C_2$, looking like:



Rule: boundary of element associated to a n + 1-simplex is the n dimensional holonomy around boundary of simplex.

Let C be a pointed homotopically finite crossed complex Hence $\mathbb{B} := |\mathcal{NC}|$ is a homotopically finite space.

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Let A be a compact n-manifold with a triangulation t. Then

 $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\mathrm{CRS}(\Pi(A_t), \mathcal{C})).$

Here CRS(..,.) is internal-hom in the cat. of crossed complexes. In particular a basis of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(A)$ consists of equivalence classes of \mathcal{C} -colourings of A_t up to 'gauge transformations' of all orders.

Let C be a pointed homotopically finite crossed complex Hence $\mathbb{B} := |\mathcal{NC}|$ is a homotopically finite space.

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Let A be a compact n-manifold with a triangulation t. Then

 $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\mathrm{CRS}(\Pi(A_t), \mathcal{C})).$

Here $CRS(_,_)$ is internal-hom in the cat. of crossed complexes. In particular a basis of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(A)$ consists of equivalence classes of C-colourings of A_t up to 'gauge transformations' of all orders.

Let C be a pointed homotopically finite crossed complex Hence $\mathbb{B} := |\mathcal{NC}|$ is a homotopically finite space.

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Let A be a compact n-manifold with a triangulation t. Then

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(A) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{CRS}(\Pi(A_t), \mathcal{C})).$

Here $CRS(_,_)$ is internal-hom in the cat. of crossed complexes. In particular a basis of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(A)$ consists of equivalence classes of \mathcal{C} -colourings of A_t up to 'gauge transformations' of all orders.

Let C be a pointed homotopically finite crossed complex Hence $\mathbb{B} := |\mathcal{NC}|$ is a homotopically finite space.

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Let A be a compact n-manifold with a triangulation t. The

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(A) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{CRS}(\Pi(A_t), \mathcal{C})).$

Here $CRS(_,_)$ is internal-hom in the cat. of crossed complexes. In particular a basis of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(A)$ consists of equivalence classes of C-colourings of A_t up to 'gauge transformations' of all orders.

Let C be a pointed homotopically finite crossed complex Hence $\mathbb{B} := |\mathcal{NC}|$ is a homotopically finite space.

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Let A be a compact n-manifold with a triangulation t. Then

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{(s)}(A) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{CRS}(\Pi(A_t), \mathcal{C})).$

Here $CRS(_,_)$ is internal-hom in the cat. of crossed complexes. In particular a basis of $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A)$ consists of equivalence classes of C-colourings of A_t up to 'gauge transformations' of all orders.

Let C be a pointed homotopically finite crossed complex Hence $\mathbb{B} := |\mathcal{NC}|$ is a homotopically finite space.

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Let A be a compact n-manifold with a triangulation t. Then

 $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{CRS}(\Pi(A_t), \mathcal{C})).$

Here $CRS(_,_)$ is internal-hom in the cat. of crossed complexes. In particular a basis of $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A)$ consists of equivalence classes of C-colourings of A_t up to 'gauge transformations' of all orders.

Let C be a pointed homotopically finite crossed complex Hence $\mathbb{B} := |\mathcal{NC}|$ is a homotopically finite space.

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Let A be a compact n-manifold with a triangulation t. Then

 $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{CRS}(\Pi(A_t), \mathcal{C})).$

Here $CRS(_,_)$ is internal-hom in the cat. of crossed complexes.

In particular a basis of $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A)$ consists of equivalence classes of \mathcal{C} -colourings of A_t up to 'gauge transformations' of all orders.

Let C be a pointed homotopically finite crossed complex Hence $\mathbb{B} := |\mathcal{NC}|$ is a homotopically finite space.

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Let A be a compact n-manifold with a triangulation t. Then

 $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{CRS}(\Pi(A_t), \mathcal{C})).$

Here $CRS(_,_)$ is internal-hom in the cat. of crossed complexes. In particular a basis of $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A)$ consists of equivalence classes of *C*-colourings of A_t up to 'gauge transformations' of all orders.

Let C be a pointed homotopically finite crossed complex Hence $\mathbb{B} := |\mathcal{NC}|$ is a homotopically finite space.

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Let A be a compact n-manifold with a triangulation t. Then

 $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{CRS}(\Pi(A_t), \mathcal{C})).$

Here $CRS(_{-},_{-})$ is internal-hom in the cat. of crossed complexes. In particular a basis of $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A)$ consists of equivalence classes of C-colourings of A_t up to 'gauge transformations' of all orders.

Let C be a pointed homotopically finite crossed complex Hence $\mathbb{B} := |\mathcal{NC}|$ is a homotopically finite space.

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Let A be a compact n-manifold with a triangulation t. Then

 $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \mathbb{C}(\pi_0(\operatorname{CRS}(\Pi(A_t), \mathcal{C})).$

Here $CRS(_{-},_{-})$ is internal-hom in the cat. of crossed complexes. In particular a basis of $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(A)$ consists of equivalence classes of *C*-colourings of A_t up to 'gauge transformations' of all orders.

Consider a cobordism

Consider a triangulation t of triad (M; A, B).

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Given $f : \Pi(A_t) \to C$ and $f' : \Pi(A_t) \to C$

 $\left\langle \left[f\right] \middle| \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(M) \middle| \left[f'\right] \right\rangle = \# \left\{ H \colon \Pi(M_t) \to \mathcal{C} : H \right\}$



× factors depending only on number of simplices of A_t, B_t, M_t and C.

Consider a cobordism



Consider a triangulation t of triad (M; A, B).

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Given $f : \Pi(A_t) \to C$ and $f' : \Pi(A_t) \to C$

 $\left\langle [f] \Big| \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(M) \Big| [f'] \right\rangle = \# \Big\{ H \colon \Pi(M_t) \to \mathcal{C} :$



imes factors depending only on number of simplices of A_t, B_t, M_t and \mathcal{C} .

Consider a cobordism



Consider a triangulation t of triad (M; A, B).

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Given $f: \Pi(A_t) \to C$ and $f': \Pi(A_t) \to C$

 $\left\langle [f] \Big| \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(M) \Big| [f'] \right\rangle = \# \Big\{ H \colon \Pi(M_t) \to \mathcal{C} :$



imes factors depending only on number of simplices of A_t, B_t, M_t and C.

Consider a cobordism



Consider a triangulation t of triad (M; A, B).

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Given $f: \Pi(A_t) \rightarrow C$ and $f': \Pi(A_t) \rightarrow C$

 $\left\langle [f] \Big| \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mathbb{B}}(M) \Big| [f'] \right\rangle = \# \Big\{ H \colon \Pi(M_t) \to \mathcal{C} :$



×factors depending only on number of simplices of A_t, B_t, M_t and C.

Consider a cobordism



Consider a triangulation t of triad (M; A, B).

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Given $f: \Pi(A_t) \to C$ and $f': \Pi(A_t) \to C$



× factors depending only on number of simplices of A_t, B_t, M_t and C.

Consider a cobordism

Consider a triangulation t of triad (M; A, B).

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Given $f: \Pi(A_t) \to C$ and $f': \Pi(A_t) \to C$



 \times factors depending only on number of simplices of A_t, B_t, M_t and C.

Consider a cobordism

Consider a triangulation t of triad (M; A, B).

Theorem (Martins/Porter (following Brown-Higgins)) Given $f: \Pi(A_t) \to C$ and $f': \Pi(A_t) \to C$



 \times factors depending only on number of simplices of A_t, B_t, M_t and C.

References:

- Bullivant A, Calçada M, Kádár Z, Martin P, and Faria Martins J: Higher lattices, discrete two-dimensional holonomy and topological phases in (3+1) D with higher gauge symmetry, arXiv:1702.00868.
- Bullivant A, Calçada M, Kádár Z, Martin P, and Faria Martins J: Topological phases from higher gauge symmetry in 3+1 dimensions. PHYSICAL REVIEW B 95, 155118 (2017)
- Faria Martins J, Picken R..: Surface Holonomy for Non-Abelian 2-Bundles via Double Groupoids, Advances in Mathematics Volume 226, Issue 4, 1 March 2011, Pages 3309-3366
- Faria Martins J, Porter T : On Yetter's Invariant and an Extension of the Dijkgraaf-Witten Invariant to Categorical Groups, Theory and Application of Categories, Vol. 18, 2007, No. 4, pp 118-150.
- Brown, P. Higgins, R Sivera: Nonabelian algebraic topology. Filtered spaces, crossed complexes, cubical homotopy groupoids. With contributions by Christopher D. Wensley and Sergei V. Soloviev. Zurich: European Mathematical Society (EMS) (2011)